# Mississippi Mills LEAR Approach <br> Draft Approach and Preliminary Scoring Results 
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## Guiding Objective

Identify and protect prime agricultural lands via identification of good soils and other, area-related factors

## Exclusion of lands in Analysis

## Only exclude settlement areas



## Evaluation Unit Selection

- Land ownership parcels (MPAC)
- Opted against raster approach



## LE,AR Criteria Weighting

- LE = Land Evaluation (Canada Land Inventory (CLI) Soil Capability Classification)
- AR = Area Review (Other factors affecting site suitability for agriculture, aside from soil capability)
- 70\% LE, 30\% AR (70pts LE, 30pts AR)
- Justification: CLI plays a larger role in determining good soils than AR factors.
- Consideration for AR factors should be secondary to soil capability.


## LE Factor Breakdown, Scoring

As mandated by OMAFRA, the Canada Land Inventory (CLI) Soil Capacity Classification dataset was used to evaluate soils in Mississippi Mills.

| Soil Capability <br> Class | CLI score (field crop <br> points) FCP | Total Score (/70 points) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 70 |
| Organics | 0.9 | 63 |
| 2 | 0.9 | 63 |
| 3 | 0.8 | 56 |
| 4 | 0.6 | 42 |
| 5 | 0.4 | 28 |
| 6 | 0.2 | 14 |
| 7 | 0 | 0 |

Consists of $70 \%$ of total score (70 of 100 total points)

## AR Factor Considerations

| INCLUDED factor | Justification of Choice |
| :--- | :--- |
| Parcel Size | Parcel size is relevant to agricultural viability in the context of MMills. <br> Large parcels are likely needed for the most common farming activities in MMills. |
| Conflicting Land Uses | Conflicting uses can hinder agricultural activities and/or prevent expansion of <br> facilities. |
| Percent of Parcel currently used for <br> agriculture (Active Farming) | Active farming requires protection. Active farming uses may suggest that <br> investments have been made to improve soil quality. Value placed on existing <br> farms within community. |
| EXCLUDED Factor | Justification of Choice |
| Presence of Farming Infrastructure | Not necessarily reflective of soil capability |
| \% of Land in Agricultural Production <br> Within 1km Evaluation Unit | Not necessarily reflective of soil capability |
|  | Would place too much consideration on surrounding uses (already considering <br> conflicting uses) |

## AR Factor Breakdown, Scoring

| AR Score (/30) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Conflicting Land | Evaluation Unit Currently |
| Parcel Size | Uses | used for Agriculture |
| $(/ 10)$ | $(/ 10)$ | $(/ 10)$ |

Maximum Score of 30

## AR Factor: Parcel Size

Recommended Scoring Breakdown

| Parcel Size | Score |
| :---: | :---: |
| $>81$ Acres | 10 |
| 51-80 Acres | 8 |
| 26-50 Acres | 6 |
| 11-25 Acres | 4 |
| $6-10$ Acres | 2 |
| 1-5 Acres | 1 |
| $<1$ Acre | 0 |


| Farm Size Category | Number of <br> Farms (\#) | Percentage of all <br> Farms (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Farms under 10 acres | 6 | 2.7 |
| Farms 10 to 69 acres | 33 | 14.7 |
| Farms 70 to 129 acres | 64 | 28.6 |
| Farms 130 to 179 acres | 27 | 12.1 |
| Farms 180 to 239 acres | 25 | 11.2 |
| Farms 240 to 399 acres | 33 | 14.7 |
| Farms 400 to 559 acres | 12 | 5.4 |
| Farms 560 to 759 acres | 11 | 4.9 |
| Farms 760 to 1,119 acres | 8 | 3.6 |
| Farms 1,120 to 1,599 acres | 4 | 1.8 |
| Farms 1,600 to 2,239 acres | 1 | 0.4 |
| Farms 2,240 acres and over | 0 | 0.0 |
| All farms | $\mathbf{2 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

## AR Factor: Conflicting Land Uses

Concerned with individual conflicting land uses located outside of settlement areas.

| Number of Individual <br> Conflicting Land Uses within <br> 750m |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Score |  | 6-10 |  | 11-15 | 16-20 | 21-25 | $\mathbf{> 2 6}$ |



## AR Factor: Active Farming

## Percent of total parcel area currently used for Agriculture

Determined using MPAC Codes and Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada's (AAFC) 2019 Cropland Data

| Percentage of Evaluation Unit <br> (Parcel) Currently Used for <br> Agriculture | Score |
| :---: | :---: |
| $0 \%$ | 0 |
| $1 \%-9 \%$ | 1 |
| $10 \%-20 \%$ | 2 |
| $21 \%-30 \%$ | 3 |
| $31 \%-40 \%$ | 4 |
| $41 \%-50 \%$ | 5 |
| $51 \%-60 \%$ | 6 |
| $61 \%-70 \%$ | 7 |
| $71 \%-80 \%$ | 8 |
| $81 \%-90 \%$ | 9 |
| $91 \%$ or Greater | 10 |

## Preliminary Total Scores

Figure 5. Total LEAR Score per Evaluated Parcel


## Legend

-()- Provincial Highway
-

- Other Roads


## Total Score

$\square 0$
$\square 1-30$
$30-51$
$51-66$
66-80

## 66+ Score Threshold Results

Figure 6. Parcels with LEAR Scores of 66+
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